



Performance of Broilers Fed Silk Cotton Seed (*Ceiba patandra*) Based Diets

*Ari, M. M¹., Barde, R. E¹., Ogah, M.D. ¹, Yakubu, A² and Aya, V.E¹

¹Department of Animal Science, College of Agriculture,
PMB 33 Lafia, Nasarawa State

²Faculty of Agriculture, Nasarawa State University Keffi

*Corresponding author: +234 803 6253270 arimaikano@yahoo.com

Abstract

The utilization of silk cotton seed (*Ceiba patandra*) as a substitute for full fat soyabeans was evaluated using 120 Anak broiler chickens during 8 week feeding trails in which silk cotton seed (toasted, TSCS and untoasted, USCS) was used to replace soyabean at 0%(T1), 50%TSC (T2), 50%USCS (T3), 100%TSCS (T4) and 100% USCS(T5).Data were collected on average feed consumption, body weight gain (BWG), feed conversion ratio (FCR) and performance index. The results obtained showed treatment effect on feed intake and weight gain was significant ($P<0.05$). However, the highest feed intake and weight gain (880.68 g and, 429.65 g) respectively was recorded for T1 (control). T 2 showed the best replacement potentials for soyabeans in terms of feed intake (676.16 g) and BWG (449.56 g). Similarly, mean feed conversion ratio and performance index were significantly ($P<0.05$) different between treatment groups. From the results obtained in this study, it could be concluded that 50% replacement of soyabeans with toasted silk cotton seed (TSCS) is a desirable substitute for soyabean in the diets of broilers.

Keywords: Broilers, Feed Substitution, Performance index , Silk Cotton Seed (*Ceiba patandra*)

INTRODUCTION

Oil seeds account for the largest cost item by volume in any poultry feed production enterprises (Refstie, *et al.* 1999). Therefore the search for alternative replacement for the major oil seeds in poultry nutrition will continue to attract attention from all stakeholders. These alternative replacements must however be nutritionally rich, have cost / availability advantages and should be less competitive than the major oilseeds like soyabean and groundnut.

The protein content of silk cotton meal is of good quality but low in cystine and methionine (Morgan, 1990), *Ceiba pentandra* and also contains essential minerals and trace elements that promote well being (Obiajunwa *et al.*, 2005) and fatty acids glycosides, saponins and steroids (Friday *et al.*, 2011 and Sarkiyayi *et al.*, 2009). Silk cotton seed however contain 0.3 to 20g/kgDM of a yellow pigment known as gossypol that is particularly toxic to animals. The general symptoms associated with this anti nutritional factor (ANF) in poultry are depressed appetite, loss of weight, growth depression in chicks and discoloration of the egg yolk in layers (Narahari and Asha - Rajini ,2003), while studies have also shown that saponnin although non toxic can generate adverse physiological responses in animals that consume them (Iniaghe, 2009).

Other ANFs and bioactive compounds present in silk cotton seed (*kapok*) as reported (Diarra, 2010, Edeoga *et al.*, 2006, Akindahunsi and Salawu, 2005, Narahari and Asha-Rajini, 2003) includes tannins, tyrosines and fatty acids with cyclopropene rings. Some of these anti-nutrient content (cyanogenic glycoside, trypsin inhibitors, hemagglutinin inhibitor, phytate and oxalate) in silk cotton seed are of low values (Friday, 2011) which implies that the plant is safe for human and animal utilization. This is corroborated by the report of Sarkiyayi *et al.* (2009) on the acute and chronic toxicity profile studies of *C. pentandra* which showed that in all the parameters studied (serum bilirubin, Packed Cell Volume (PCV) and creatinine) were within physiological acceptable range. Even though Narahari and Asha- Rajini (2003) reported the good replacement potentials of the silk cotton seed cake when *kapok* seed replaced sunflower meals (SFM) in broiler feeds, the need for adequate processing to reduce the antinutritional factors in plants used as human foods and animal feeds was reviewed by Maker and Becker.(1999) and Soetan and Oyewole (2009). This work was therefore aimed at assessing the replacement potentials of toasted and untoasted silk cotton seed in soya beans based broiler diet by evaluating performance indicators for broilers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Seeds collection and Processing:

Silk cotton seeds (*Ceiba petandra*) were obtained from a local market in Kanke Local Government Area of Plateau State, Nigeria. The collected seeds were cleaned by winnowing and hand picking of stones and debris.

The cleaned Silk cotton seeds (*Ceiba petandra*) were toasted at an approximate temperature of 100 °C for 30 minutes according to the methods described by Ari (2006). The dried silk cotton seeds were spread on a clean floor to cool before grinding. The chemical composition of the toasted and untoasted silk cotton seed samples were analyzed according to A.O.A.C (2000) method. This forms the basis of experimental feeds formulation.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

This study was conducted at the teaching and Research unit of livestock complex of College of Agriculture, Lafia using 120 day –old Anak broiler chicks .The experimental birds were randomly divided into five (5) treatment groups that were replicated twice. Treatment 1 which represents the control group were fed 100% soyabean cake (SBC) based diets , while treatments 2 and 3 were fed 50% replacements of SBC with 50 % of untoasted (USCS) and toasted silk cotton seeds (TSCS), Treatments 4 and 5 however represents 100% untoasted and toasted silk cotton seed (*Ceiba petandra*) replacement of soyabeans in the broiler diets. The experimental diets were formulated to be *isocaloric*

and *isonitrogenous* using a least cost method of feed formulation software *feedwin*. The formulated and the determined composition of experimental diets are presented in Tables 2 and 3 respectively. All management activities were uniformly carried out for all treatment groups and experimental birds were fed *ad libitum* throughout the duration of the experiment.

DATA COLLECTION

The weekly records of average feed consumption, body weight gain, feed gain ratio, survival percentage and weekly performance index for each for each treatment group were obtained during the eight week feeding trial. All data collected were subjected to the analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SPSS for windows 16.0. Duncan's multiple range tests was used to separate means which were significantly different.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The chemical composition of the toasted and untoasted silk cotton seed are presented in Table 1. The results indicated higher Crude Protein (CP), Crude Fibre (CF), ether Extract (EE) and Nitrogen Free Extract (NFE) values for untoasted silk cotton seeds while the ash and mineral content of the seed was improved by toasting. This indicates that the nutrient content of the silk cotton seed is affected by heat treatment. The CP values of both the toasted and the untoasted silk cotton seed however differs with the values (25%) reported by Komolafe *et al.* (1981) but are consistent with of 30% range reported elsewhere (Narahari and Asha -Rajini,2003).

The differences in the performance parameters of the experimental birds fed different replacement levels of soyabeans with toasted and untoasted silk cotton seed are presented in Table 4. The average BWG as affected by treatment was significant ($P<0.05$) at the starter phase with treatments 1 (574.05 g) and 2 (444.22 g) having the best values while the lowest value was recorded in treatment 4 (202.71 g). Similar trend was also observed at the finisher phase for the feed intake with values ranging from 517.24 g for treatment 4 to 880.68 g for treatment 1. A significant ($P<0.05$) difference was recorded for Feed Conversion Ratio (FCR) with treatment 4 having the lowest values (1.06) while treatments 1(1.47) and 5 (1.53) had the highest FCR values at the starter phase. The trend in the finisher phase had treatment 1 (2.05) and treatment 2 (1.93) having the highest FCR values followed by,treatment 3 (1.92)while treatments 4 and 5 had the lowest (1.90). However treatment effect on the survival percentage among all treatment groups at the starter phase was not significant. The performance index was nevertheless significantly ($P<0.05$) different among treatment groups at the starter phase with treatments 1 (185.89) and 5 (115.17) having the highest and lowest values

respectively while in the finisher phase treatments 1(203.32) and treatment 2 (166.38) had higher performance index values and treatment 4(126.27) was lowest.

There were significant ($P<0.05$) differences in all the parameters measured at the finisher phase except in the FCR. The best averages were consistently recorded for treatment 1 having the best, followed by treatment 2 for most of the parameters measured

The trend of increase in weight gain and feed intake are in the order of usage of processed silk cotton seed in substituting soyabeans in the experimental diet. These results have shown that the efficiency of utilization of oil seeds is improved by removing ANFs (Obioha, 1992; Caine *et al.*, 1998; Maker and Becker, 1999; Narahari and Asha Rajini, 2003; Singh, 2008, and Dairra *et al.*, 2010) in the inclusion of silk cotton seed which is in agreement with Komolafe *et al* (1981). The low feed intake in treatments with USCS can be accounted for by the adverse physiological responses in animals that consume them which was similarly observed by Iniaghe, (2009). The low mortality rate observed in the study is supported by Friday et al (2011) and Sarkiyayi *et al.* (2009) and therefore an indication of the potentials of this feed material in the diet of broilers. This is also an encouraging factor for the production of this plant, as an added value will be derived from both lint production for the textile industry, medicinal and seed processing for livestock feed industry.

The combination of soyabeans and silk cotton seed in poultry feeds will bring about a balance in and nutrient availability. Therefore, 50% replacement of soyabeans with toasted silk cotton seed is recommended in broiler feeds.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors sincerely acknowledgement the support of E.B.N Shwarmen, Auta John Jatau Funock Bako, Simon David, Monday Mallo and Mrs. Cecilia Manasseh. The support of the Animal Science Department, College of Agriculture Lafia is also acknowledged

TABLE 1: Chemical Composition of Toasted and Untoasted Silk Cotton Seed (*Ceiba Petandra*)(g / 100 DM)

| Nutrients | UNTOASTED | TOASTED |
|-----------------------|-----------|---------|
| Moisture | 7.10 | 3.99 |
| Crude protein | 31.97 | 31.30 |
| Crude Fibre | 23.41 | 17.44 |
| Lipids (FAT) | 8.77 | 25.69 |
| Total Ash | 5.54 | 5.62 |
| Nitrogen free extract | 30.31 | 19.95 |
| Calcium | 0.36 | 0.43 |
| Phosphorus | 0.54 | 0.68 |
| Silica | 34.46 | 45.59 |

TABLE 2: Gross Composition of the Experimental Diets

| INGREDIENTS | 0% | 50%(UT) | 50%(T) | 100%(UT) | 100%(T) |
|---------------------|-------|---------|--------|----------|---------|
| Bone meal | 2.55 | 2.55 | 12.5 | 4.05 | 3.5 |
| Cassava | 12.50 | 12.50 | 14.59 | 9.55 | 11.55 |
| DL methione | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| Fish meal | 3.25 | 4.25 | 4.66 | 3.70 | 5.00 |
| Lysine (HCL) | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| Limostone | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.87 | 0.25 | 1.25 |
| Maize | 18.90 | 18.90 | 14.50 | 18.5 | 9.05 |
| Maize bran | 5.50 | 5.50 | 5.50 | 9.55 | 13.00 |
| Rice bran | 0.55 | 0.55 | 4.00 | 0.50 | 9.55 |
| Salt | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| Sorghum | 18.25 | 18.25 | 18.25 | 17.50 | 13.00 |
| Palm oil | 2.00 | 1.50 | - | 1.50 | - |
| Soyabeans meal | 35.00 | 17.50 | 17.50 | - | - |
| USCS | - | 17.00 | - | 34.00 | - |
| TSCS | - | - | 16.63 | - | 33.25 |
| *Broiler premix | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| **Calculated | | | | | |
| Energy(Keal) | 2871 | 2882 | 2846 | 2895 | 2845 |
| CP(%) | 22.82 | 21.30 | 2133 | 19.69 | 19.55 |
| Price /KG feed (N) | 43.2 | 39.63 | 37.55 | 35.09 | 32.26 |

*Premix to provide the following per KG of diet: vit A. 10.000 IU; Vit D.2000iu; Vit 2.24mg;riboflavin,5.5mg; pantotenic acid. 10mg; nicotinic 2.5mg. choline.350mg; folic acid, 2mg; mangense. 56mg; 10mg.50mg; cobalt. 1.25mg **Feedwin Soft ware

Table 3: Chemical Composition of Experimental Diets/100g Dry matter

| Nutrients | Starter phase | | | | | Finisher phase | | | | |
|--------------------|---------------|---------|---------|----------|----------|----------------|---------|----------|----------|----------|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Dry Matter (%) | 92.31 | 90.36 | 91.35 | 89.64 | 91.21 | 92.53 | 92.17 | 93.18 | 91.43 | 93.04 |
| Crude Protein (%) | 21.93 | 20.56 | 21.60 | 22.32 | 21.67 | 20.64 | 20.15 | 21.17 | 21.87 | 21.24 |
| Crude Fibre (%) | 7.42 | 7.64 | 7.49 | 9.02 | 7.92 | 7.51 | 8.40 | 8.24 | 9.92 | 8.71 |
| Ether Extract (%) | 13.12 | 12.83 | 13.01 | 15.58 | 13.68 | 12.34 | 11.42 | 11.58 | 13.87 | 12.18 |
| NFE (%) | 33.62 | 32.3 | 32.62 | 24.77 | 30.93 | 34.13 | 30.36 | 30.66 | 23.28 | 29.07 |
| Total ash (%) | 16.22 | 17.03 | 16.63 | 17.95 | 17.01 | 16.47 | 16.52 | 16.13 | 17.41 | 16.50 |
| Calcium (%) | 1.68 | 1.58 | 1.63 | 1.96 | 1.72 | 1.71 | 1.73 | 1.79 | 2.15 | 1.89 |
| Phosphorous (%) | 1.3 | 1.32 | 1.31 | 1.57 | 1.38 | 1.32 | 1.43 | 1.41 | 1.70 | 1.49 |
| Energy*(Kcal/KgME) | 3318.75 | 3188.35 | 3258.15 | 3224.235 | 3258.034 | 3216.075 | 2972.21 | 3038.676 | 2996.365 | 3035.667 |

* Calculated using the method reported by Paul and Southgate (1978)

TABLE 4: Performance of Broilers Fed Silk Cotton Seed based Diets

| Treatment | Initial Body Weight gain (g) | Average Body weight (g) | Feed intake (g) | Average weight Gain | FCR | Survival (%) | Performance Index |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------|--------------|-------------------|
| Starter Phase | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 46.09 NS | 574.05a | 410.41a | 278.31a | 1.47a | 98.50 NS | 185.89a |
| 2 | 45.79 NS | 444.22b | 278.98b | 211.84b | 1.32ab | 92.50 NS | 156.50ab |
| 3 | 45.07 NS | 267.29c | 228.93c | 198.69b | 1.15b | 95.99 NS | 166.12ab |
| 4 | 43.48 NS | 202.71d | 205.12c | 194.21b | 1.06b | 91.08 NS | 167.97ab |
| 5 | 44.48 NS | 236.39cd | 284.91b | 188.81b | 1.53a | 91.65 NS | 115.17b |
| SEM | ±0.46 | ±47.41 | ±23.86 | ±11.75 | ±0.07 | ±1.28 | ±9.48 |
| Finisher Phase | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 573.00a | 2127.75a | 880.68a | 429.65a | 2.05NS | 97.00a | 203.32a |
| 2 | 458.72b | 1660.81ab | 676.16b | 449.56b | 1.93 NS | 90.00b | 166.38ab |
| 3 | 267.29c | 1081.39ab | 567.08c | 296.19c | 1.92 NS | 88.73bc | 137.82bc |
| 4 | 206.94d | 1015.53ab | 517.24c | 272.40c | 1.90 NS | 88.18c | 126.27c |
| 5 | 224.89d | 1097.71ab | 594.25bc | 313.82bc | 1.90 NS | 88.50c | 147.14bc |
| SEM | ±48.24 | ±148.97 | ±43.28 | ±18.90 | ±0.03 | ±1.19 | ±9.88 |

abc means in the same column with the same superscript are not significantly (P>0.05) different

SEM Pooled Standard Error of Mean

NS Not Significant

REFERENCES

- Akindahunsi, A.A, Salawu, S..O (2005). Phytochemical screening and nutrient – anti-nutrient composition of selected tropical green leafy vegetables. Afr. J. Biochem., 4: 97-501.
- A.O. A. C. (2000) . Association Of Analytical Chemist: Official Methods of Analysis (15th edition) K. Heldrich, Virginia USA
- Ari, M.M, (2006) An evaluation of the effects of various processing methods on the utilization of soyabean (*Glycine max*) by broiler chickens. PhD thesis submitted to the Federal University of technology , Minna
- Caine, W.R. Vertegen, M.W.A. Saver, W.C. Taminga, S. (1998). Effect of protease treatment of soyabeans meal on content of total soluble matter and crude protein and levels of soyabean trypsin inhibitors AFST (71)177-183.
- Diarra, S.S., Usman, B.A., Igwebuik, J.U. and Yisa A.G, (2010) Breeding for efficient phytate-phosphorus utilization by poultry International Journal of Poultry Science 9 (10): 923-930,
- Edeoga HO, Omosun G, Uche LC (2006). Chemical composition of *Hyptis suaveolens* and *Ocimum gratissimum* legbrids from Nigeria. Afr. J. Biotechnol., 5(10): 891-895.
- Friday, E.T, James, O, Olusegun, O and Gabriel, A. (2011). Investigations on the nutritional and medicinal potentials of *Ceiba pentandra* leaf: A common vegetable in Nigeria International Journal of Plant Physiology and Biochemistry . 3(6) 95-101,
- Iniahe, O.M, Malomo, S.O, Adebayo, J.O (2009). Proximate composition and phytochemical constituents of leaves of some Acalypha species. Pak. J. Nutr., 8 (3): 256–258.
- Komolafe M.F. A.A Adegbola L.A.Are and T.I Ashaye . (1981). Agricultural Science for West African Schools and College Second Edition pp. 127.
- Maker, H.P.S and Becker,K.(1999). Plant toxins and detoxification methods to improve feed quality of tropical seeds – review Asian-Aus J. Anim. Sci 12(3)467-480
- Morgan, C.A. (1990) Animal Nutrition in tropics fifth Edition PP 155-156
- Narahari, D and Asha Rajini, R. (2003). Chemical composition and nutritive value of kapol seed meal for broiler chickens. British Poultry Science. Vol 44 page 505 -509.
- Obiajunwa, E.I, Adebisi, F.M, Omoda, P.E. (2005). Determination of essential Minerals and Trace elements in Nigerian Sesame seeds, using TXPF Technique. Pak. J. Nutr., 4(6): 393–395.
- Obioha F.C (1992). A guide to poultry production in the tropics pp. 45-48.

- Paul, A.A. and Southgate, D.A.T. (1978). In Mc Cance and Widdowson's The Composition of Food 4th ed. London H.M stationary office
- Refstie, S.W., Svihus, B., Sheaver, K.D., Stare Bakken, T. (1999). Nutrient Digestibility in Atlantic salmon and Broiler Chickens Related to Viscosity and Non-Starch polysaccharide Content in Different soybean products. *Animal feed Science and Technology* (79)331-345.
- Sarkiyayi S, Ibrahim, S, Abubakar, M.S (2009). Toxicological studies of *Ceiba pentandra* Linn. *Afr. J. Biochem. Res.*, 3(7): 279–281.
- Singh, P.K., (2008). Significance of phytic acid and supplemental phytase in chicken nutrition: A review. *World's Poult. Sci. J.*, 64: 553-577.
- Soetan, K. O. and Oyewole, O. E. (2009). The need for adequate processing to reduce the antinutritional factors in plants used as human foods and animal feeds: A review *African Journal of Food Science* 3 (9) 223-232
- SPSS . (2006). *Statistical package for social science* .16