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Abstract
The study evaluated the food security and coping strategies of selected villages along River Rima affected by flood in Sokoto State, Nigeria. Eighty respondents were randomly selected across three out of nine local governments affected. Data were collected by the use of interview schedule. Descriptive Statistic, food Security Index and Coping Strategy Index (CSI) were used to analyse data collected. In total sample households, the incidence of food security, average food insecurity gap (depth) and square of food insecurity gap (severity) are 59%, 0.33 and 0.007 respectively. Results further revealed that among the coping strategies adopted by households due to flood, relying on less preferred and less expensive foods was the most common adopted while the least adopted by households was skipping whole days without meals. The study concludes that food insecurity in the study area is high and pronounced thus, a special intervention needs to be put in place either by Government or other donor agencies to reduce food insecurity among the people.
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Introduction
Nigeria is one of the food insufficient countries in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) although, it is arguably better, in terms of food production than the others (Davies, 2009). Food insufficiency and importation are prevalent in Nigeria, despite the country having the highest food production in SSA (Edopka and Okafor, 2009). The fact is that food deficit production has consistently increased over the years, yet deficit and importation are on the increase. Food insecurity in Nigeria is concentrated in rural areas, where about 64 percent live below the poverty line compared to 35 percent in urban areas. While a greater proportion of poor households are found in the north than in the south, the highest incidence of poverty is observed in households engaged in agriculture, that is about 90 percent poor households in Nigeria are engaged in agriculture. Agricultural growth, therefore, is important for the eradication of extreme poverty and hunger in Nigeria.

Populations have developed a number of coping mechanisms in order to live with climate variation and uncertainty. Coping mechanisms are the actual responses to crisis on livelihood systems in the face of unwelcome situations, and are considered as short-term responses (Berkes and Jolly, 2001). Indigenous strategies to cope with climatic variability vary among different geographical locations and between social-religious-cultural settings, as well as between livelihood cores (e.g. between agro-pastoral communities depending on livestock raising compared to sedentary farming communities depending primarily on crop production). It is thus impossible to give a generic overview of indigenous coping mechanisms. Suffice it to state that coping with climatic variability forms an inherent and fundamental part of societies hosted in arid, semi-arid and dry sub-humid temperate and tropical landscapes (Falkenmark and Rockström, 1993). Most individuals and households employ a combination of responses
to the impacts of climate on their livelihoods (Thomas et al., 2005). This suggests that actions
constantly change with different situations.

In Nigeria, Sokoto State in particular is highly prone to food insecurity due to a number of
factors: climatic, social, economic, erratic weather patterns and low fertile soils. This situation
has worsened in recent times due to the climatic change experienced in the world today. In
September, 2010, River Rima over flooded due to high volume of rainfall experienced that
year. Before then, the river used to be filled to the brim and slightly over flowed its banks. But
in 2010, precisely in late August 2010, rainstorms caused the dam upstream of River Rima to
fill to dangerous levels. In an attempt to reduce the risk of failure, the gates were opened on 1
September 2010, causing major flooding in the downstream village of Kagara. On 8 September
the spillway from the dam completely failed, causing much more widespread flooding. As a
result, villages along the River were greatly affected with devastating effect on lives and
properties of the inhabitants of those areas and part of the state. Thus, it is critical at this stage
to assess the food security situation of the affected area because this is likely to worsen in time
to come. Also, knowledge of the coping strategies adopted by households during the crises is
important. In view of the above, the study had the following objectives;

• Examine the socio-economic characteristics of affected households.

• Assess the household food security status of the respondents.

• Identify the coping strategies adopted by the respondents as a result of the flood in
the study area.

Methodology
Sokoto is a city located in the upper northwest of Nigeria, near to the confluence of the Sokoto
River and the Rima River. It is geographically located between longitude 4°8' and 4°45' E and
latitude 12°0' and 13°58' N (Sokoto State Government Diary, SSGD, 2002). For the purpose of
sampling, a list of Local Government Areas affected by the flood together with their
corresponding villages was obtained from the Ecological Disaster Unit of Ministry of
Environment, Sokoto State. A multi-stage sampling technique was employed. Out of nine
Local Governments that were affected by the flood, three Local Government Areas were
selected. For the local government selected, the first (Gada) had nineteen villages, the second
(Goronyo) had eleven villages and the third (Kware) had seven villages. Accordingly sampling
with proportion was used to select five villages from Gada, three villages from Goronyo and
two villages from Kware. In each village, eight household heads were selected. This gave a
total of (80) eighty respondents. All selections above were done using simple random sampling

Interview schedule was used to collect data. For the calorie intake, the household head’s wife
assisted him in providing the information needed and the analytical tools that were used in data
analyses include:

1. Descriptive statistics (such frequencies and percentages) to analyze objective 1
2. Food Security Index to analyze objective 2,
3. Coping Strategy Index (CSI) to analyze objective 3,

Description of Analytical Tools

Food security index
Two objective methods of food security measurement have been widely used in most food
security studies (Maxwell, 1996). First, is to estimate gross household production and
purchases over time, estimate the growth or depletion of food stocks held over that period, and
presume that the food that has come into the households’ possession and “disappeared” has
been consumed. The other method is to undertake food consumption recall for individual
members of a household or for the household as a whole and analyze each type of food
mentioned for calorie content. In this study, a 7-day recall method was used. The food security
line was the recommended daily per capita calorie intake of 2260 kcal. The households’ calorie
intake was obtained through the households’ consumption. From the data the quantity of every
food items consumed by the households in the 7 days period was estimated. The quantities
were converted to kilogram and the calorie content was estimated by using the nutrient
composition table of commonly eaten foods in Nigeria (Appendix I). Per capita calorie intake
was calculated by dividing estimated total household calorie intake by the family size.

To get the household’s daily per capita calorie intake, the household’s per capita calorie intake
was divided by seven. Households whose daily per capita calorie intake were up to 2260 kcal
were regarded as food secure and those below 2260 kcal were regarded as food insecure
households. The food security status is dichotomous (i.e. 1 = food secure households and 0 =
food insecure households).

The model as used by (Babatunde et al., 2007) is expressed as follows:

\[ Z_i = \frac{Y_i}{R} \quad (1) \]

Where;

\[ Z_i = \text{Food security status of } i^{th} \text{ households which take values 1 for food secure households or} \]
\[ 0 \text{ for food insecure households.} \]
\[ Y_i = \text{Daily per capital daily calorie of } i^{th} \text{ household.} \]
\[ R = \text{Recommended per capital daily calorie intake (2260 kcal).} \]
\[ Z_i = 1 \text{ for } Y_i \text{ greater than or equal to } R \]
\[ Z_i = 0 \text{ for } Y_i \text{ less than } R \]

Other indices;

Head count method was used to measure food security status of the entire area under study and
is expressed as:

\[ \text{FII} = \frac{\text{FIH}}{\text{TH}} \times 100 \quad (2) \]

Where;

\[ \text{FII} = \text{Food Insecurity Index} \]
\[ \text{FIH} = \text{Number of Food Insecure Households} \]
\[ \text{TH} = \text{Total Households under study} \]

Food Insecurity Gap measures the depth of food insecurity and is expressed as:

\[ \text{FIG}_i = \frac{(\text{TCR}_i - \text{TCC}_i)}{\text{TCR}_i} \times 100/1 \quad (3) \]

Where;

\[ \text{FIG}_i = \text{Food Insecurity Gap of } i^{th} \text{ food insecure household} \]
\[ \text{TCR}_i = \text{Total Calorie Requirement for } i^{th} \text{ food insecure household} \]
\[ \text{TCC}_i = \text{Total Calorie Consumption by } i^{th} \text{ food insecure household} \]

Hence, the total food insecurity gap is expressed as:

\[ \text{TFIG} = \sum \left\{ \frac{(\text{TCR}_i - \text{TCC}_i)}{\text{TCR}_i} \right\}/\text{FIH} \quad (4) \]
Squared Food Insecurity Gap, which indicates severity of food insecurity among the food insecure household is given as:

\[ \text{SFIG} = \sum (\text{FIG}_i)^2 / \text{FIH} \]  ……………………………………………..(5)

Coping strategy index (CSI)

Coping strategy was employed to access the extent of use of coping strategies of the households. The knowledge of this allows a better understanding of the possible areas of intervention (formal and informal strategies) either by government or other stakeholders in the area. In analyzing the extent of use of the coping strategy, a coping strategy index (CSI) was developed by ranking. The CSI gives a quantitative score for each household and is a cumulative measure of the level of coping (Orewa and Iyangbe, 2010). The extent of use of the CSI was expressed using a five-point scale with the scoring order 4, 3, 2, 1 and 0 for every day, 3-6 times a week, 1-2 times a week, less than 1 time a week and never used respectively. The formula used to obtain the CSI score was adapted from (Maxwell et al., 2003) as follows:

\[ \text{CSI} = N_4 X_4 + N_3 X_3 + N_2 X_2 + N_1 X_1 + N_0 X_0 \]

Where:

- \( N_4 \) = Number of households using a particular coping strategy everyday
- \( N_3 \) = Number of households using a particular coping strategy 3-6 times a week
- \( N_2 \) = Number of households using a particular coping strategy 1-2 times a week
- \( N_1 \) = Number of households using a particular coping strategy less than 1 time a week
- \( N_0 \) = Number of households not using any of the coping strategies.
- \( X_4 \) = Scoring order for everyday
- \( X_3 \) = Scoring order for 3-6times a week
- \( X_2 \) = Scoring order for 1-2 times a week
- \( X_1 \) = Scoring order for less than 1time a week
- \( X_0 \) = Scoring order for not using any

The CSI was used in rank order to reflect the relative position of each of the coping strategy in terms of their use.

Results and Discussion

Socio-economic characteristics of the respondents

Table 1 shows that 29 and 25% of the respondents fall within the age range of 31-40 and 41-50 years respectively. This indicates that majority (54%) of the respondents fall within the active productive age. This is an indication of a brighter future for agriculture because majority of the respondent are in their prime age, possessing the energy and labour for agricultural development. Also, the age category is an indication that the respondents are more likely to accept innovations and improved technology which could enhance agricultural development and food security. In addition, all (100%) respondents were married and majority (98%) of them had farming as their main occupation, while about 3% were engaged in fishing (Table 1). This implies that the predominant occupation in the study area is agriculture.

Using the highest years of formal education obtained, Table 1 shows that about 25 percent of the respondents had no formal education at all, 45.0 percent had 1-6years, while only a minority (7.5%) had above 12 years of formal education. This finding indicates that majority (75%) of the victims of the flood were relatively literate because it is expected that they will be able to read and write. Similarly from Table 1, majority (68%) of the respondents had family size of between 6-10 people and 13% had between 11-15 people. This is true of most localities in
northern Nigeria as most of the men are polygamous. Babatunde et al. (2007) also stressed that household size could have implications for labour supply for farm work and also food security but that the contrary is also possible especially when there are many children dependents and elderly people in the family.

**Food Insecurity Profile among Households in the Study Area**

Adequacy of food consumption is the ultimate index of food security. This adequacy is directly reflected in the adequacy of food nutrient intake. This is because the calorie consumed in a region is a reflection of the food security situation of an area. Furthermore, knowing the number of calories missing from the diets of undernourished people helps run out the picture of food deprivation in an area (Food and Agriculture Organisation, FAO, 2000). It can be observed from the findings in Table 1 that the average calorie intake was found to be 1514.2 kcal per capita per day. This is far below the FAO minimum recommended intake of 2260 kcal per capita per day and could indicate food insecurity problem in the area. For further analysis, three food insecurity measures (incidence, depth and severity) were used to assess the degree of food insecurity among sampled households using the household per capita daily calorie intake as presented in Table 1. On the average the head count ratio was 0.59 implying that 59% of the households in the study area were food insecure based on the household per capita daily calorie intake. This is similar to the findings of 8 who found that 64% of households in north central Nigeria were food insecure. Therefore, the incidence of food insecurity can be said to be high in the study area as more than half of the population are food insecure. In terms of level of food insecurity (indicated by depth and severity), the results showed that for the depth, daily calorie intake increases of about 33% are required to fill the food insecurity gap by households to meet the minimum Recommended Daily Allowance (RDA). Babatunde et al. (2007) similarly found that households require about 32% daily calorie intake to fill the food insecurity gap in order to meet the minimum RDA. The severity observed was surprisingly low (0.007) and is contrary to expectation. With the present global food crises due to effect of climate change and increase in fuel prices in the country which has resulted to high cost of food stuffs, the situation of food insecurity in the area is expected to worsen.

**Coping Strategies of the Respondents**

Households actively try to protect their livelihood, adopting several action and mechanism when faced with shock and stress that affect their livelihood or livelihood outcomes one of which is food security which is an important aspect (Young et al., 2001). The prevailing strategies for dealing with food insufficiencies in households are presented in Table 2 using rank scores. The result from the Table shows that “eating less preferred and less expensive food” was the most prevalent coping strategy among households during periods of food insufficiency which ranked first with a score of 280. This is similar to findings of several authors (Maxwell, 1996; Orewa and Iyangbe, 2010; Rainville and Brink, 2001; Quaye, 2008; Ibrahim et al., 2009) and implies that the first thing that comes to mind in a household in the event of insufficient food is to consider the purchase of food that is less expensive and usually less preferred relative to what should have been consumed if food is sufficiently available. However, the essence of eating food is not only to quench hunger but also to derive satisfaction from the foods being consumed. Hence, if one is compelled by necessities to eat foods which are not preferred, that satisfaction might not be attained. Also, consuming cheap foods means consuming less meat and fewer dairy products, smaller amounts of oils and fats, and fewer fruits and vegetables. These foods are usually the most expensive, but they are also the most concentrated sources of many nutrients. Thus, the poor in developing countries usually suffer disproportionately from malnutrition in part because diverse, nutritionally well-balanced diets are unaffordable (FAO, 2008).
The second strategy adopted by households was “limit meal consumed by adults”. This allows children to have relatively enough food to eat but could result in reduction in the health and productivity of the adults in the households on whom the responsibility of providing food for the family is bestowed (Tarasuk, 2001, Hamelin et al., 2002; Alderman, 2005). These might further aggravate the food insecurity situation as the ability to work and earn income is hampered. The third strategy adopted was “borrow food or borrow money to buy food”. This is similar to finding of (Ibrahim et al., 2009; Goni, 2010). Although adoption of this strategy might result in satisfying the present food need, it might also result in future food insecurity as it can lead to permanent indebtedness.

Other strategies adopted in descending order were ‘limit portions at meal times’, ‘purchase food on credit’, reduce number of meal eaten in a day’, ‘ration little money available to members to buy street food’, rely on help from relative or friends outside household’, and the least adopted was ‘skipping whole day without eating’. The strategies are similar to what (Quaye, 2008; Orewa and Iyangbe, 2010) found in their studies in Nigeria and Ghana respectively. This may be because of traditional and cultural similarities between the study area.

Conclusion
Based on the findings of the study, it could be concluded that households in the study area are food insecure and they actively adopt various strategies to improve their situation. Households in the study area need about 33% of daily calorie intake increase to fill the food insecurity gap though the severity of food insecurity observed is low among households. Victims and other individuals living in places liable to flood are advised to vacate those areas so that they will not be affected by possible future occurrence of the flood.
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Table 1: Socio-economic characteristics of the respondents in the study area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;30</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>21.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>28.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-60</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>08</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Occupation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farming</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>97.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fishing</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>02.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formal Education(Years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-6</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>45.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-12</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>22.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;12</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>07.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family size</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>68.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-20</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2: Summary Statistics of Food Security Indices for the Study Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food Security Indices</th>
<th>Mean Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Per capita daily Calorie availability</td>
<td>1514.20kcal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food Insecurity Incidence</td>
<td>58.75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3: Coping strategies of Adopted by Households

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/ N</th>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Every day (4)</th>
<th>3-6 times a week (3)</th>
<th>1-2 times a week (2)</th>
<th>&lt;1 times a week (1)</th>
<th>Never (0)</th>
<th>Total score</th>
<th>Rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Rely on less preferred and less expensive food?</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Borrow food or borrow money to buy food?</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Purchase food on credit?</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Rely on help from relatives or friend outside household?</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Limit portions at meal time?</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Ration little money available to members to buy street food?</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Limit meal consumed by adult</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Reduce number of meal eaten in a day</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Skip whole day without eating?</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>