



Online copy available at

[www.patnsukjournal.net/currentissue](http://www.patnsukjournal.net/currentissue)

## Effect of varying levels of Potassium and Irrigation Interval on Sweet Potato Tuber Quality (*Ipomoea batatas* L.) In Sudan Savanna

Aliyu .I<sup>1</sup>, Abubakar I.U<sup>2</sup>, I. M. Haruna<sup>3</sup>, Haliru Muazu<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Crop Production, University of Maiduguri, Nigeria.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Agronomy, Ahmadu Bello University Zaria, Nigeria.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Agronomy, Nasarawa State University, Keffi, Nigeria.

<sup>4</sup>Department of Soil Science, Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto, Nigeria.

Corresponding author e-mail: [aliyu.ibrahim@unimaid.edu.ng](mailto:aliyu.ibrahim@unimaid.edu.ng) (+234(0) 803 8056319)

### Abstract

Field trials were conducted during 2011/2012 dry season at Usmanu Danfodiyo University Teaching and Research Fadama Farm, Sokoto and Bakolori Irrigation Project, Talata Mafara to study the effects of different rates of potassium fertilizer and irrigation intervals on sweet potato tuber quality. The experiment consisted of five rates of potassium (0, 50, 100, 150 and 200 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>) and three irrigation intervals (7, 14 and 21 days). The fifteen treatment combinations were laid out in a randomized complete block design and replicated three times. Results obtained showed that marketable tubers were optimized at 100kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> in Sokoto and 150 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> at Talatamafara, Tuber weight were significantly increased by the application of 150kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> at Sokoto and 200kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at Talatamafara. Irrigating at 21days intervals produced significantly higher tuber weight and marketable tubers in both locations. Thus, application of 100 to 200kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> with 21 day irrigation interval has the potential to improve both quality and size of sweet potato tubers and is therefore recommended.

**Keywords:** Sweet Potato; Marketability; Potassium; Irrigation; Sudan Savanna

### Introduction

Sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas* L.) belongs to the family *Convolvulaceae* and is widely grown in the tropics and warm temperate regions of the world (Woolfe, 1992). Sweet potato is a short duration crop adaptable to a wide range of growing conditions, exhibiting no strict seasonality, making it suitable as a combined crop with other crops. In developing countries, it is ranked fifth in economic value, sixth in dry matter production, seventh in energy production and ninth in protein production (Lobenstein, 2009). Sweet potato is an important root crop having tremendous potential for utilization in food, feed and industrial sectors, especially for the production of starch, flour, glucose and alcohol (Wheatly *et al.*, 2009). Sweet potato is a good source of vitamin C, B2, B6 and E as well as dietary fiber, K, Cu, Mn and Fe (Baybutt *et al.*, 2000). The high nutrient content coupled with its anti-carcinogenic and cardio-vascular disease preventing properties has gained recognition for the crop as a health food.

Plant nutrients are essential for the production of qualitative crops that provide healthy food for world expanding population (Chen, 2006). Potassium is the third most essential nutrient, after nitrogen and phosphorous, to limit plant productivity (Brady and Weils, 2002). Sweet Potato crop has strict requirement for a balanced fertilization management without which potassium deficient plants are highly sensitive to fungal, bacterial, insect, mite, nematode and virus infections (Havlin *et al.*, 1999 and Marschner, 2002). With a shortage of potassium, a whole range of

quality criteria such as nutritional and technological (processing) quality of harvested products particularly fleshy tubers is impaired (Marschner, 2002). Excessive moisture and high nutrient levels has resulted in decreased root yield and quality of sweet potato Chya and Kays (1981); Sajjapongse and Roan, 1982). Yield and tuber quality of sweet potato could be improved by the application of appropriate rate of potassium coupled with optimum irrigation levels.

This research is therefore, aimed at investigating the optimum potassium and irrigation levels on sweet potato tuber quality.

### **Materials and Methods**

Trials were conducted at UsmanuDanfodiyo University Research farm, Sokoto (latitudes  $12^{\circ}$  and  $13^{\circ} 05'$  N and longitudes  $4^{\circ} 8'$  and  $6^{\circ} 4'$  E) and Bakolori Irrigation Project site, TalataMafara (latitudes  $12^{\circ} 30'$  and  $12^{\circ} 50'$  N and longitudes  $5^{\circ} 59'$  and  $6^{\circ} 20'$  E) during 2011/2012 cropping season.

The lands were cleared, harrowed and made into 0.75 m row ridges and a gross plot size of  $13.5 \text{ m}^2$  and net plot was  $7.2 \text{ m}^2$  and one meter was left between blocks and 0.5 m between plots. Treatment consisted of five levels of potassium (0, 50, 100, 150 and  $200 \text{ kg K}_2\text{O ha}^{-1}$ ) and three irrigation rates (7, 14 and 21 days). The fifteen treatment combinations were laid out in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replicates. Nitrogen rate of  $40 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  was supplied using (Urea 46 %),  $40 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  phosphorous was supplied using SSP (18 %  $\text{P}_2\text{O}_5$ ) and potassium were applied using muriate of potash ( $\text{K}_2\text{O}$  60 %) as per treatments. Half of N, all of P and K were applied at planting and the second dose of N was applied at four weeks after planting. Vines of *Dan-Kano*, a yellow-orange skinned with orange flesh was sourced from the locality and used for planting. Planting was done manually using hoe at inter and intra row spacing of 75 and 30 cm, respectively. The fields were irrigated shortly after planting. Irrigation treatments of 7, 14 and 21 days interval were imposed at 3 weeks after planting using controlled flooding of individual plots using check basin to bring the soil to field capacity. Harvesting was done manually when the crop reached physiological maturity as shown by falling of the leaves; change in color of leaves to yellow and cracking of the soil. Soil samples were collected from the sites for analysis to determine the physical and chemical properties. Data were collected on marketable tuber, non-marketable tubers, weight per tuber and culled tubers. The data collected were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) technique using SAS system (SAS, 1989). The treatments were separated using Duncan's New Multiple Range Test (DMRT) at 5 % level of significant.

### **Results and Discussion**

#### **Effect of potassium fertilizer**

Effect of potassium rate and irrigation intervals on marketable tubers is presented on Table 2. The result indicated a significant response of potassium fertilizer on both locations. Where 100, 150 and  $200 \text{ kg K}_2\text{O ha}^{-1}$  recorded the

highest but statistically similar result in Sokoto and TalataMafara produced the highest but similar marketable tubers at the application of 150 and 200 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>.

**Table 1:** Physical and chemical soil properties at the experimental sites

Parameters	Talata – Mafara	Sokoto
<i>Physical properties</i>		
Sand (%)	81.2	71.4
Silt (%)	14.7	20.4
Clay (%)	4.1	8.2
Textural Class	Sandy loam	Sandy loam
<i>Chemical properties</i>		
pH (H <sub>2</sub> O) 1:1 ratio	6.6	6.2
pH(CaCl <sub>2</sub> )	6.1	5.7
Organic C (g/kg)	2.2	5.8
Available P (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	0.59	0.63
Total nitrogen (g/kg)	0.7	0.7
Exchangeable bases (C <sub>mol</sub> kg <sup>-1</sup> )		
Ca	1.65	2.3
Mg	0.8	0.9
K	0.21	1.23
Na	1.48	0.74
CEC	6.8	5.4

**Table 2:** Effect of potassium rate and irrigation interval on marketable tuber at Sokoto and TalataMafara in 2011/2012 dry season

Treatment	Sokoto	TalataMafara
<i>Potassium rate (kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>)</i>		
0	247.62 <sup>c</sup>	244.07 <sup>c</sup>
50	259.29 <sup>b</sup>	250.93 <sup>bc</sup>
100	340.00 <sup>a</sup>	267.62 <sup>b</sup>
150	288.88 <sup>a</sup>	319.41 <sup>a</sup>
200	301.85 <sup>a</sup>	321.48 <sup>a</sup>
SE <sub>±</sub>	25.623	12.106
<i>Irrigation interval (days)</i>		
7	263.34 <sup>ab</sup>	245.05 <sup>b</sup>
14	273.33 <sup>a</sup>	257.80 <sup>b</sup>
21	325.92 <sup>a</sup>	327.26 <sup>a</sup>
SE <sub>±</sub>	19.848	9.308
<i>Interaction</i>		
K X I	NS	NS

Means with the same letter (s) are not significantly different at P ≤ 0.05 level of significance using DNMRT

Perhaps, this could be attributed to the role potassium plays in promoting synthesis of photosynthates and their transport to roots resulting in significant improvement in tuber size, and quality. This agrees with Martin-Prevel (1989) who observed that potassium fertilizer promotes large size of sweet potato tubers. Similarly, Hartemink, (2003) reported that potassium fertilizer significantly increase

weight of marketable tubers. Data in Table 3 shows effect of potassium rate and irrigation levels on non-marketable tubers. The result revealed that plots that received no potassium recorded the highest number of non-marketable tubers in both locations. This could be related to the effect of potassium on tuber performance. It was observed by Togari, (1950) that inadequate potassium fertilizers retard the production of large tuberous roots and favors the formation of non-marketable tubers. Significant effect was observed due to K fertilizer on culled tuber, this could probably be due to the role potassium plays in improving a whole range of quality criteria of sweet potato tubers, which include; tuber size, storage content, shipping quality and shelf life, cooking and processing qualities, chips color, storability, resistance to mechanical damage (Jackson and Thomas, 1960; Nicholaides *et al.*, 1981; Onwueme, 1981; Yehet *et al.*, 1981; Hammett and Miller, 1982; Hammett, 1984; Patricia and Bansal, 1999). Marschner, (2002), reported that increase in culled tuber of sweet potato may be due to the toxic effect of the fertilizer as a result of excessive stored content in the tubers which affect both its quality and quantity. Similarly, Havlin *et al.* (1999) observed that potassium deficient crops are highly sensitive to fungal, bacterial, insects, mites, nematode and viruses.

#### **Effect of irrigation levels**

Result shows that marketable tubers was significantly influenced by irrigation treatment. Analysis indicated that marketable tubers under 21 days interval recorded the highest marketable tuber weight in both locations as shown in Table 2. Similarly, tuber weight showed positive response to 21 days irrigation level compared with other treatments. This could be due to the longer period allowed for moisture loss that improve soil aeration necessary for optimum plant growth and development. This agrees with Yadav *et al.* (2009) who reported that better availability of soil aeration is ascribed to increase in sweet potato growth. Non-marketable tubers were higher but similar at 7 and 14 day irrigated plots as shown in Table 3. This may be due to excessive moisture content of the soil which might have resulted to soil compaction that reduced roots bulk uniformity. Miller *et al.* (1992) observed that excessive irrigation brings changes in soil physical properties, basically acting as soil lubricant, influencing soil compaction. Result in Table 4 showed that tuber weight under 21 days interval recorded the highest mean for Sokoto and TalataMafara. This is justifiable, because the root system of sweet potato can expand under condition of water shortage. It is obvious that continuous application of water at optimum levels would result in improvement in yield attribute (Mohamed *et al.*, 2006).

Table 5 shows response of irrigation treatment on culled tubers. Result indicated that no significant effect in Sokoto, but TalataMafara produced the highest culled tubers at 7 days irrigation level. It is obvious that excessive moisture condition often affect flowering stages, causes diseases, splitting or low quality fruit (fruit rot) that causes

low yield which might in turn resulted in a significant reduction in both yield and quality (Schulthesis (2001).

**Table 3:** Effect of potassium rate and irrigation interval on non- marketable tuber at Sokoto and TalataMafara in 2011/2012 dry season

Treatment	Sokoto	TalataMafara
<i>Potassium rate (kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>)</i>		
0	204.74 <sup>a</sup>	203.70 <sup>a</sup>
50	172.62 <sup>ab</sup>	157.41 <sup>a</sup>
100	184.15 <sup>ab</sup>	181.48 <sup>ab</sup>
150	142.03 <sup>b</sup>	152.92 <sup>b</sup>
200	146.96 <sup>b</sup>	111.09 <sup>c</sup>
SE <sub>±</sub>	15.677	10.069
<i>Irrigation interval (days)</i>		
7	138.89 <sup>b</sup>	146.10 <sup>b</sup>
14	181.42 <sup>a</sup>	148.42 <sup>b</sup>
21	190.02 <sup>a</sup>	189.45 <sup>a</sup>
SE <sub>±</sub>	12.144	7.799
<i>Interaction</i>		
K X I	NS	NS

Means with the same letter (s) are not significantly different at P ≤ 0.05 level of significance using DNMRT

**Table 4:**Effect of potassium and irrigation rate on tuber weight (g) at Sokoto and TalataMafara in 2011/2012 dry season

Treatment	Sokoto	TalataMafara
<i>Potassium rate (kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha</i>		
0	264.82 <sup>b</sup>	237.07 <sup>c</sup>
50	272.92 <sup>b</sup>	253.92 <sup>bc</sup>
100	247.07 <sup>b</sup>	245.63 <sup>bc</sup>
150	302.01 <sup>ab</sup>	260.08 <sup>b</sup>
200	343.55 <sup>a</sup>	287.15 <sup>a</sup>
SE <sub>±</sub>	18.196	7.208
<i>Irrigation interval (days)</i>		
7	277.64 <sup>b</sup>	231.06 <sup>c</sup>
14	268.89 <sup>b</sup>	263.93 <sup>b</sup>
21	327.89 <sup>a</sup>	280.71 <sup>a</sup>
SE <sub>±</sub>	7.208	5.583
<i>Interaction</i>		
K X I	NS	NS

Means with the same letter (s) are not significantly different at P ≤ 0.05 level of significance using DNMRT

**Table 5:**Effect of potassium rate and irrigation interval on culled tuber (g) at Sokoto and Talatamafara in 2011/2012 dry season

Treatment	Sokoto	Talatamafara
<i>Potassium rate (kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>)</i>		
0	231.50 <sup>a</sup>	0.00 <sup>c</sup>
50	175.98 <sup>ab</sup>	78.71 <sup>b</sup>
100	193.52 <sup>ab</sup>	116.67 <sup>a</sup>
150	88.93 <sup>c</sup>	101.85 <sup>a</sup>
200	50.00 <sup>c</sup>	104.26 <sup>a</sup>
SE <sub>±</sub>	34.643	5.323
<i>Irrigation interval (days)</i>		
7	103.92 <sup>c</sup>	156.17 <sup>a</sup>
14	160.02 <sup>b</sup>	69.23 <sup>b</sup>
21	180.00 <sup>a</sup>	15.50 <sup>c</sup>
SE <sub>±</sub>	5.323	4.123
<i>Interaction</i>		
K X I	NS	NS

Means with the same letter (s) are not significantly different at  $P \leq 0.05$  level of significance using DNMR

### Conclusion

From the foregoing, it can be seen that marketable tubers were optimized at 100kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> in Sokoto and 150 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> at Talatamafara, Tuber weight were significantly increased by the application of 150kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> at Sokoto and 200kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at Talatamafara. Irrigating at 21days intervals produced significantly higher tuber weight and marketable tubers in both locations. Thus, application of 100 to 200kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> with 21 day irrigation interval has the potential to improve both quality and size of sweet potato tubers and is therefore recommended.

### Reference

- Baybutt, R. C. Hu L., and Molteni (2000). A vitamin A deficiency injures lung and liver parenchyma and impairs function of rat type II Pneumocytes. *Journal of Nutrition*, 130(5): 159 - 165.
- Brady, N.C and Weil, R.R (2002). *The Nature and properties of Soils*. 13<sup>th</sup> ed. Prentice Hall, New Jersey. 627-635
- Chen J. (2006) The combined use of chemical and organic fertilizer and/or biofertilizer for crop growth and soil fertility. International workshop on sustained management of the soil rhizosphere system for efficient crop production and fertilizer use. 16-20 October 2006. Level Development Department Bangkok Thailand; 2006.
- Chya, L. K. and S. J. Kays (1981). Effect of Soil Oxygen Concentration on Sweet Potato storage root induction and/or development. *Horticultural Science*, 16: 71-73.

- Hammett, H. K., R. J. Constantin, and T. P. Hernandez. (1982). The effect of phosphorous and soil moisture levels on yield and processing quality of 'Centennial' Sweet Potatoes. *Journal of American Society of Horticultural Science*, 107:119-122.
- Hammett, L. K. (1984). Influence of N source, N rate and K rate on the yield and mineral concentration of sweet potato. *Journal of American Society of Horticultural Science*, 109: 294-298.
- Hartemink, A. E. (2003). Integrated Nutrient Management Research with Sweet Potato in Papua New Guinea. *Out Look on Agriculture*, 32 (3) 173-182.
- Havlin, J. L., Beaton, J. D., Tisdale, S. L. and Nelson, W. L. (1999). *Soil Fertility and Fertilizers: An Introduction to Nutr*
- Jackson, W.S. and G.W Thomas (1960). Effect of Potassium and Dolomitic Limestone on growth and uptake of the Sweet Potato. *Soil Science*, 89:347-352.
- Lobenstien, G. (2009). *Origin, Distribution and Economic Importance*. In: The Sweet Potato. Lobenstein, and Thottappilly, G. (Eds.). Springer Science Publishers, 9-12.
- Marschner, H. (2002). *Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants*. (2nd ed.), Academic Press, Amsterdam, Boston, Heidelberg, London, New York, Oxford, Paris. 299 -312
- Martin- Prevel, P. J. (1989). Physiological process related to handling and storage quality of crops. In: *Proceeding of the 21<sup>st</sup> International Potato Centre (IPC) colloquium on: Methods of K Research in eldalouvain-Neuve, Belgium* International Potash Institute, Bern, Switzerland. 219 - 248.
- Miller R. W. and Donahue, R. L. (1992). *Soils: An Introduction to Soils and Plant Growth*. Prentice Hall, New Delhi, India. 144 - 143.
- Mohamed Amanullah, M. (2006). Intercropping and organic manures on the growth and yield of cassava (*Manihotesculanta* Crantz) Res. J. Agric. Biol. Sci. 2(4): 200-206
- Nicholaides, I. I. III, Chancy, H. P., Massagni, U., Jr, Wilson, L. O. and Euddy, D., W. (1981). Sweet Potato Response to K and P. *Fertilization Agronomy Journal*, 77: 466 - 470.
- Onwueme, I. C. (1981). *Cassava in the Tropical Tuber Crops: Yam, Cassava and Sweet Potato* 1<sup>st</sup> ed. EL8B. John Willey and Sons Ltd. 167 – 175
- Patricia, I. and S.K Bansal (1999). Potassium and Integrated Nutrient Management in Sweet Potato. *International Potash Institute Seminar*, 6 - 11, Dec 1999. New Delhi, India, 1-12.
- Sajjapongse, I. and Y. C. Roan (1982). Physiological Factors Affecting Root Yield of Sweet Potato (*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) LAM): in: *Sweet Potato Proceedings of the 1<sup>st</sup> International Symposium*, R. L. Villareal and T. D. Griggs, Eds. AVRDC, Taiwan, 203-204.

- SAS Institute, (1989). *Statistical Analysis System User's Guide*. Statistics SAS Institute, Cary N.C. USA.
- Togari, Y. (1948). Effect of Potassium and Nitrogen on the yield and Dry matter rate of Sweet Potato. In: Tsuna, Y. (ed). *Sweet Potato Nutrient Physiology and Cultivation*. International Potash Institute, Bern/Switzerland, 73pp.
- Wheatley, C., Andrade, M. Low, J., Lynam, J., Lemagae, B., Crissman, C., Barker, I., Thiele, G. and Namanda, S. (2009) Sweet potato in sub-Saharan Africa 'In' *The Sweet Potato*, 7 - 9.
- Woolfe, J.A. (1992). *Sweet potato: an untapped food resource*, New York, Cambridge University Press
- Yadav, R. P., Tripathi, M. L. and Trivedi, S. K. (2009). Effect of irrigation and nutrients levels on productivity and profitability of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus*) *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 54 (3): 332-335
- Yeh, T. P., Y. T. Chen and C. C. Sun (1981). The effects of fertilizer application on the nutrient composition of high protein cultivars of sweet potato protein and lysine production. *Journal of Agricultural Association of China*, 113: 34-40.